

# **Genetics Discussion for the American Black Hereford Association**

**David Greg Riley**

**Texas A&M University**

# Topics

- **Managing Genetic Defects in Cattle Populations**
- **Contemporary Groupings**
- **Data Collection and Reporting**

# Genetic Abnormalities

- **Prominent examples**
  - Dwarfism in the 20<sup>th</sup> century
  - Curly calf syndrome
- **Economics of seedstock production**
  - Loss of the production cycle
  - Public relations and reputation

# Some Terms in Genetics

- Locus—place in the genome
- Gene—yields a product with a function
- Allele—alternate version of a gene/locus; e.g.  $A, a, A_1, A_2$
- Diploid—2 copies of genomic material (DNA); 1 each of paternal and maternal origin
- Genotype—the 2-allele designation of gene or locus in a diploid animal; e.g.,  $AA, Aa, aa, A_1A_1, A_1A_2, A_2A_2$

# Phenotype

- **something observable of an animal (trait)**
  - **Quantitative (infinitely divisible) & many genes (usually unknown) influence**
    - **Weight, height, blood pressure**
  - **Qualitative (large discrete) & generally one or a few genes that are known**
    - **Eye color, coat color, presence/absence of horns**

# Additive Genetic Action

One locus (gene) with two alleles  $A_1, A_2$

- 3 genotypes are possible
- $A_1A_1, A_1A_2, A_2A_2$  with corresponding values of 0, 1, 2 (some trait units)
- In this example, every  $A_2$  allele adds a value of 1
- Quantitative traits

# Dominance as Genetic Action

One locus (gene) with two alleles  $A$ ,  $a$

- 3 genotypes are possible
- $AA$ ,  $Aa$ ,  $aa$  with values 1, 1, 0 (arbitrary)
- Allele  $A$  covers up the effect of allele  $a$
- Allele  $a$  is recessive to allele  $A$
- Qualitative traits—eye color in humans; coat color in cattle

# Genetic Abnormalities in Livestock

- Single gene
- Dominance: the recessive genotype (e.g., *aa*) is responsible for the abnormality.
- Deleterious: or lethality
- Carrier: individual that has a (covered up) recessive allele (heterozygote)
- Homozygous Dominant: confirmed as having 2 dominant alleles



# **In the following examples, assume**

- **Dominance as the genetic action**
- **The recessive genotype is responsible for the abnormality**

# Matings of $AA \times AA$

	<b>Sire alleles (frequency)</b>	
<b>Dam alleles (frequency)</b>	<b>A (1)</b>	
<b>A (1)</b>	<b>AA (1)</b>	

# Matings of $aa \times aa$

	Sire alleles (frequency)	
Dam alleles (frequency)	$a$ (1)	
$a$ (1)	$aa$ (1)	

# Matings of $Aa \times aa$

	<b>Sire alleles (frequency)</b>	
<b>Dam alleles (frequency)</b>	<b><math>A</math> (1/2)</b>	<b><math>a</math> (1/2)</b>
<b><math>a</math> (1)</b>	<b><math>Aa</math> (1/2)</b>	<b><math>aa</math> (1/2)</b>

# Matings of $AA \times Aa$

	Sire alleles (frequency)	
Dam alleles (frequency)	$A$ (1)	
$A$ (1/2)	$AA$ (1/2)	
$a$ (1/2)	$Aa$ (1/2)	

# Matings of $Aa \times Aa$

	Sire alleles (frequency)	
Dam alleles (frequency)	$A$ (1/2)	$a$ (1/2)
$A$ (1/2)	$AA$ (1/4)	$Aa$ (1/4)
$a$ (1/2)	$Aa$ (1/4)	$aa$ (1/4)

# Mating of Heterozygotes $Aa$

- These are the problem matings.
- $Aa$  are phenotypically indistinguishable from  $AA$
- How can  $Aa$  individuals be identified?
  - Pedigree and associated probability
  - Test matings and reassessment of probability
  - Genomic testing

# How Many $Aa$ in the Population?

- Many abnormalities are recessive.
- Since they are deleterious, we expect that selection would work against their presence.
- Therefore the frequency should be low ( $< 5\%$ ) and most (all) of the recessive alleles will be present in the heterozygous individuals ( $Aa$ )
- Frequency of the allele corresponds to probability of its presence



# Matings at Random in the Population

	Sire alleles (frequency)	
Dam alleles (frequency)	<i>A</i> (0.99)	<i>a</i> (0.01)
<i>A</i> (0.99)	<b><i>AA</i> (0.9801)</b>	<b><i>Aa</i> (0.0099)</b>
<i>a</i> (0.01)	<b><i>Aa</i> (0.0099)</b>	<b><i>aa</i> (0.0001)</b>

# All Sires Confirmed as Non-Carriers (*AA*)

	Sire alleles (frequency)	
Dam alleles (frequency)	<i>A</i> (1)	
<i>A</i> (0.99)	<i>AA</i> (0.99)	
<i>a</i> (0.01)	<i>Aa</i> (0.01)	

# **ABHA Required Testing**

- **Arthrogryposis Multiplex—Curly calf**
- **Neuropathic Hydrocephalis—water head**
- **Developmental Duplication**
- **Idiopathic Epilepsy—shaker calf**

# EPD Runs

- **Linear Mixed Models—Best Linear Unbiased Prediction—extension of linear regression**
- **Effects statistically adjusted for “pollutants”:**
  - Preadjustment for dam age and calf age (BIF)
  - Contemporary group—Calves from same ranch, year, season, sex; minimum of 4
  - Breed of calf: HB and HX
  - Breed of dam: HB, HX, PH, HH, NR

# Animal Effects = EPD

- Associations among animals are included by using average pedigree relationships
- Expectation of the proportion of genes/DNA that animals share because of common or direct ancestry
- Separately for each trait analyzed
- Maternal animal effects—birth and weaning weight (Milk EPD)

# Contemporary Groups

- Calves that are born and managed similarly
- Combinations of ranch, year, season, and sex
- Cases extreme
  - Show calves
  - Embryo transfer calves (recipient)
  - Calves out on the range

# Contemporary Groups

- **Beef Improvement Federation Guidelines**  
<https://beefimprovement.org/library-2/bif-guidelines>  
say 20 as a minimum
- **4 because ABHA is young and in a growing phase.**

# Sampling Weirdness

- **“Sampling Error”**
- **There is a population; we retrieve a sample to make inference about the population (this is the essence of statistics)**
- **How good is that sample?**
- **The bigger the sample, the less subject to extreme values or unusual occurrences**



# **Average Number of Aliens Seen per US Citizen (~325 million people today)**

- **What is the real average?**
- **Random samples of**
  - **1 million**
  - **100,000**
  - **1,000**
  - **100**
  - **4**
- **What kind of sample gives the best approximation of the true number?**

# Example

**Assume a bull is used in a herd and has 100 cows bred. Imagine taking random groups of 4 from those 100—what could the cows be like?**

- Some conceive and give birth early (or late)**
- Only the young (or old) cows have calves in a group**
- Most or all of the dams are NR for some group of calves**

# What Should CG Minimum Size Be?

- **BIF recommendations = 20**
- **Move there gradually**
- **Trade-off between record accumulation and CG size**
- **Inclusion of small breeding stock operations**
- **Set a target date and proposed change, e.g.:  
“Calves born in 2020, the new CG minimum size will be 10 instead of 4.”**

# **Data Collection and Reporting**

- **Digital Beef—efficient data base management**
- **More EPD can be generated**
- **Whole Herd Reporting**

# Whole Herd Reporting

- [https://beefimprovement.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/BIFGuidelinesFinal\\_updated0916.pdf](https://beefimprovement.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/BIFGuidelinesFinal_updated0916.pdf) pages 7-15 (search for “BIF Guidelines” and you will find)
- Collection of annual production and performance records on all cattle within a herd—registration not required
- Objective: accumulate reproductive and performance data on all animals in a breed

# Whole Herd Reporting

- **Does not seek to control which animals will be registered (individual breeder decision)**
- **Performance records (or disposal codes) are required on all calves produced by each breeder**
- **Strongly recommend ABHA adopt this as policy**

**Dr. Lauren Hanna**

**North Dakota State  
University**





david-riley@tamu.edu

**Thank you!**